

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated February 24, 2011. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17 and 36 are in the application, of which Claim 1 is the sole independent claim. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 1 and 2.

Claims 3 to 10, 13 to 26 29 to 34 and 36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for alleged indefiniteness. The amendments set out above are believed to address these rejections.

In addition, all pending claims have been amended such that they depend directly or indirectly from allowed Claim 1, and are believed to be patentable.

Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18 to 26 and 29 to 34 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter, and without conceding the correctness of their rejection, in an effort to obtain an earlier allowance of the subject application and to expedite issuance. Accordingly, this should be viewed as a traversal of the rejection. Applicants reserve their right to file further continuations directed to the subject matter of the cancelled claims.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael K. O'Neill/
Michael K. O'Neill
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 32,622

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200